



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JLP:ddj  
Docket No: 7948-99  
16 May 2000

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 16 May 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNO memorandum 5420 N130D1/0U0213 of 23 March 2000, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS  
2000 NAVY PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

5420  
N130D1/OU0213  
23 Mar 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF  
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THE CASE OF SEAMAN  
[REDACTED]

Encl: (1) BCNR File # 07948-99 with Microfiche Service Record

1. The following provides comment and recommendation on Seaman Hagar's petition.
2. N130 recommends deny [REDACTED] petition for an Enlistment Bonus (EB).
3. [REDACTED] enlisted in the Navy on 28 May 1998 for the Cryptologic Technician (Collection) (CTR) School Guarantee and signed an EB contract in the amount of \$2,500. On 01 June 1998, Seaman Hagar signed Annex B to her Enlistment Guarantees Contract which changed her enlistment option to the JOBS Program (Strand 4 Electronics: 6YO AEF/ATF) Guarantee. She claims she is entitled to an EB for the AECF program, and that the Navy Recruiter did not counsel her that an EB was in effect for the guaranteed school she was enlisting. In her petition, Seaman Hagar requests the Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR) amend her enlistment contract to include an EB.
4. EB is not an entitlement, but a recruiting tool used at the discretion of recruiters and classifiers to entice individuals to enlist in critical skills. [REDACTED] enlisted into the JOBS Strand Program, which is not an EB eligible program. Therefore, she is not entitled to an EB. Recruits enlisting in non-EB eligible programs are not required to be counseled regarding the EB option.
5. BCNR case file with microfiche service record is returned herewith as enclosure (1).

*Victor D. Mickel*  
VICTOR D. MICKEL  
Assistant, Enlisted Bonus  
Programs Branch